

The Role and Challenges of Museums in Cultural Heritage Protection

-- Taking Sino-Western Cultural Exchange as an Example

Yudan Peng*

School of Tourism and Hotel Management, FUAB Formació, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona 08193, Spain

*pengyudan00@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In the context of globalization, museums, as core institutions for cultural heritage protection, play an increasingly important role in Sino-Western cultural exchanges. This paper focuses on the core functions of museums in cultural heritage protection and the unique challenges they face in the context of Sino-Western cultural dialogue. The study found that museums are not only custodians and researchers of cultural heritage, but also key platforms for promoting cross-cultural understanding. However, the significant differences between Chinese and Western cultures in values, protection concepts and technology applications, as well as issues such as cultural interpretation, intellectual property rights and resource allocation, constitute the main obstacles in museum practice. This paper analyzes these challenges in depth based on specific cases and explores coping strategies, aiming to enhance the ability of museums to effectively protect diverse cultural heritage and promote mutual learning among civilizations in the era of globalization, and to provide reference for building a more inclusive and sustainable cultural heritage protection system.

KEYWORDS

Museum; Cultural Heritage Protection; Sino-Western Cultural Exchange; Cultural Translation; Sustainability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Today, with the deepening of the wave of globalization and the deepening of exchanges and mutual learning among civilizations, museums have evolved from traditional "treasure collection places" to dynamic cultural spaces that integrate collection, protection, research, interpretation, display and education. It is not only the guardian of national historical memory, but also an important bridge to promote dialogue, understanding and respect between different civilizations. In particular, in the long-standing and increasingly frequent cultural exchange between China and the Western world, the role of museums is particularly critical and complex. Chinese and Western cultures are rooted in completely different philosophical thoughts, value systems and historical contexts. Chinese traditional culture emphasizes "harmony between man and nature" and "harmony but difference", pays attention to the continuity of collective memory and history, and its cultural heritage protection often contains profound ethical and spiritual connotations. Western culture is deeply influenced by rationalism, individualism and scientific positivism, and its protection practice focuses more on the objectivity of material carriers, technical intervention and systematic research. This deep-seated difference in concepts often makes museums face the problem of ideological collision and

reconciliation in the collection standards, protection ethics, interpretation narrative and display methods of Chinese and Western cultural heritage. How to effectively build a bridge of communication and avoid cultural misunderstanding and simplification while respecting cultural roots has become a core challenge that museums must face. This paper aims to deeply analyze the multi-dimensional role played by museums in fulfilling the core mission of cultural heritage protection in the context of Sino-Western cultural exchanges, and systematically sort out the key challenges they face, and then explore possible ways to improve the effectiveness of cross-cultural protection and promote in-depth dialogue between civilizations, in order to provide theoretical and practical references for the transformation and development of museums in the era of globalization.

2. THE EVOLUTION OF THE CORE ROLE OF MUSEUMS AS GUARDIANS OF CULTURAL HERITAGE

The fundamental mission of museums is to protect the common cultural memory of mankind, and this role has been continuously deepened and expanded in the context of the integration of Chinese and Western cultures. Traditionally, the core responsibilities of museums focus on the physical preservation of material cultural heritage, delaying the natural aging process of collections by establishing strict environmental control systems, and minimizing the risk of human damage by using preventive protection concepts. The refined hierarchical management system implemented by the Palace Museum for millions of precious cultural relics and the cutting-edge environmental monitoring technology used by the British Museum for its huge collection of Chinese porcelain both reflect the global commonality of this basic function[1]. However, with the innovation of protection concepts, the role of museums has significantly exceeded the scope of "safe deposit boxes". For heritage that bears the imprint of Sino-Western exchanges, such as early export porcelain, scientific instruments brought in by Western missionaries, or modern overseas study materials, the museum's responsibility is not only to preserve them physically, but also to dig deep into the complex historical layers and cultural interaction codes they contain. This requires museums to strengthen their research functions and use multidisciplinary methods such as history, archaeology, art history, history of science and technology, and even cross-cultural research to interpret the production process, circulation trajectory, usage context, and symbolic significance of the objects in the history of cultural exchanges. For example, the study of a Qing Dynasty export Cantonese porcelain needs to combine the porcelain making technology of Jingdezhen, China, the painting and trade network of Guangzhou, the influence of the European Rococo art style, and the customization needs of specific European families in order to fully reveal its value as a "cultural hybrid". This in-depth research is the premise for museums to effectively interpret and accurately convey the stories of the encounter between Chinese and Western cultures carried by the objects, and it is also the key to their evolution from static custodians to active cultural narrators and historical interpreters.

3. PROTECTION PRACTICES AND COMMON CHALLENGES EMPOWERED BY SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Modern science and technology provide museums with unprecedented powerful tools for protecting Chinese and Western cultural heritage, while also revealing the objective difficulties they face together. In terms of scientific detection and analysis, X-ray fluorescence spectrometers, Raman spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy, high-resolution microscopy, etc. are widely used to identify the material of cultural relics, analyze the production process, identify historical restoration traces, and reveal surface information that is invisible to the naked eye. For example, using XRF to analyze a copper-based painted enamelware that combines Chinese and Western styles in the Ming and Qing dynasties can accurately determine the elemental composition of its glaze, trace the source of the enamel material, and provide empirical evidence for the study of early global trade and technology

dissemination. At the physical protection level, in response to the fragility of organic cultural relics, especially the threats posed by drastic fluctuations in temperature and humidity, light, pollutants, and biological invasions, more sophisticated microenvironment control technologies, low-oxygen conditioning insecticide sterilization systems, and better performance inert material preservation solutions have been developed. For inorganic cultural relics, such as metals and silicate materials, their deterioration process often follows specific physical and chemical laws. Processes such as metal corrosion, crystallization of ceramic glazes, or glass weathering can often be described by kinetic models in materials science[2].

4. INTERPRETATION AND DISPLAY: BUILDING A BRIDGE FOR CROSS-CULTURAL UNDERSTANDING

The exhibition and interpretation of museums are key links in transforming static collections into dynamic cultural narratives and promoting understanding between the Chinese and Western publics. They are also the areas where cultural translation challenges are most concentrated. Successful cross-cultural interpretation requires museums to go beyond simple juxtaposition or labeling, and to deeply understand the meaning, function, emotional value of exhibits in the original cultural context and the new connotations given to them in the process of communication. For example, when showing a religious painting from the Ming and Qing dynasties in China to Western audiences, if only the name and age are marked, while ignoring its complex religious ritual background, image symbol system, and social function, it is very easy to simplify or misread it as a simple "Oriental art". Vice versa, if Western religious oil paintings lack in-depth interpretation of their theological background, narrative tradition, and artistic style and school, Chinese audiences may also watch them only from an aesthetic or curiosity perspective. The deeper challenge lies in dealing with sensitive points or conflicting interpretations in Chinese and Western historical narratives[3]. Cultural relics or themes involving colonial history, war plunder, and unequal trade require museums to maintain a high degree of academic rigor, cultural sensitivity, and ethical balance in curating. The British Museum, the Guimet Museum in France, and other institutions have collected a large number of Chinese cultural relics, and their sources themselves are witnesses to the complex entanglements of Chinese and Western history. How museums can respect historical truth, objectively present the historical facts of the circulation of objects, avoid inciting nationalist sentiments, and promote dialogue based on mutual respect and reflection is a test of curatorial wisdom. This requires the use of multiple perspectives in the exhibition narrative, the introduction of multiple voices, a clear distinction between the statement of historical facts and the interpretation of views, and the provision of sufficient historical background information. The display method also needs to take into account cultural differences. For example, the open, immersive, and individual experience-oriented exhibition design commonly used in Western museums and the model that some Chinese audiences may be more accustomed to, which focuses on knowledge systematization and authoritative guided tours, need to find an appropriate balance to ensure that information is effectively conveyed without causing cultural barriers.

5. KNOWLEDGE SHARING AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DILEMMA IN THE DIGITAL AGE

The rapid development of digital technology has created revolutionary opportunities for museums to break through physical boundaries and promote global sharing and virtual exchanges of Chinese and Western cultural heritage resources, but it has also brought unprecedented complex challenges such as intellectual property rights, cultural sovereignty and ethical norms. Digital technologies, including high-precision 3D scanning, high-definition photography, virtual reality, augmented reality, online databases and digital exhibition platforms, have greatly expanded the accessibility of museum collections. The "Digital Dunhuang" project of the Dunhuang Research Institute has digitized the

exquisite cave murals and colored sculptures, which not only provides research convenience for scholars around the world, but also allows audiences from all over the world to appreciate these fragile cultural treasures that carry the profound imprint of Sino-Western Buddhist art exchanges "immersively" without having to go to the site in person, effectively alleviating the protection pressure brought by physical visits. Large digital cultural heritage platforms such as "Europeana" in Europe are also committed to integrating resources from various countries and promoting cross-cultural exploration. However, the popularization of digitization has triggered sharp intellectual property disputes[4]. When Chinese and Western museums digitize their collections of cultural relics, the copyright ownership of the generated digital images, 3D models and related metadata becomes a gray area. Original artifacts are usually very old, and their physical entities may not be protected by modern copyright law, but do high-quality digitization results constitute new, copyrighted "derivative works"? Can museums claim rights to these digital assets? When these digital resources involve items of important cultural or religious sanctity, does their widespread online dissemination conform to the wishes and taboos of the original cultural community? These issues are particularly prominent in cross-border and cross-cultural digital sharing. The application of emerging technologies such as NFT and Metaverse has further exacerbated the complexity. Museums cast digital copies of artifacts as NFTs for sale or authorization, triggering widespread debate on whether the commercialization of digital derivatives of artifacts is reasonable, how to distribute the proceeds, and how to prevent improper use or digital plagiarism of cultural heritage. The existence of the digital divide cannot be ignored. The imbalance of technical capabilities and resources may lead to Western institutions gaining an advantage in the global presentation and discourse of digital cultural heritage, making some non-Western cultural heritage face the risk of marginalization or insufficient representation in the digital field.

6. EXPLORATION OF RESOURCES, COLLABORATION AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT PATHS

The effective fulfillment of museums' mission of protecting cultural heritage in the cultural exchange between China and the West is highly dependent on sustainable resource guarantees, innovative collaboration models, and continuous reflection and expansion of their social roles. Lack of resources is a common and realistic constraint. Whether it is tight staffing, shortage of funds for daily operation and maintenance, or high special investments required to cope with large-scale protection projects or cutting-edge technology applications, it tests the museum's ability to raise funds and manage resources. Especially for small and medium-sized museums or institutions located in economically underdeveloped areas, the challenge is even more severe. To solve the resource dilemma and achieve sustainable development, museums need to actively explore diversified sources of funds. In addition to relying on traditional government financial grants, it is necessary to vigorously expand social channels: seek sponsorship from enterprises and foundations; design and develop high-quality cultural and creative products that are deeply integrated with their own collections and cultural positioning; provide membership services, high-end cultural lectures, customized guided tours and other value-added services; explore the moderate market-oriented operation of public welfare projects, and use their income to feed back the core protection and research functions. The Shanghai Museum has effectively supplemented its business funds through its successful cultural and creative brands and high-quality special exhibition operations, which is an example of localization exploration. In the face of the complexity of cultural exchanges between China and the West, it is crucial to establish a multi-level and normalized collaborative network. This includes: inter-museum cooperation; deepening industry-university-research cooperation with academic institutions to jointly tackle technical problems or historical research topics; establishing a communication and consultation mechanism with the original cultural community or the community of the source of cultural relics, respecting their cultural rights and interests and informed consent; exploring cross-border cooperation with technology companies to jointly develop applicable protection, display or digital technology

solutions[5]. Ultimately, museums need to constantly reflect on their social positioning, strengthen the concept of "audience-centered", and enhance the public's awareness of cultural heritage protection through well-designed public projects, highly interactive exhibitions, diversified digital content push and in-depth community participation activities, cultivate future supporters and participants, and thus build a deep social foundation for the sustainable development of museums.

7. CONCLUSION

In the field of cultural heritage protection in the era of globalization, especially in promoting in-depth dialogue between Chinese and Western civilizations, museums have an indispensable and increasingly complex multiple mission. This study clearly shows that its role has long surpassed that of a mere physical custodian and has evolved into a comprehensive cultural hub that integrates cutting-edge technology protectors, in-depth cultural researchers, exquisite narrative interpreters, public education leaders, and a core platform for cross-civilization dialogue. However, the road to fulfilling this lofty mission is full of thorns. The protection concepts of Chinese and Western cultural heritage, which are deeply rooted in different philosophical soils, often cause clashes of ideas in specific protection decisions, restoration ethics, and display strategies. It is urgent to establish a dialogue mechanism based on mutual respect. Although advanced science and technology provide powerful weapons to slow down the decay of cultural relics and reveal historical information, their high cost, scarcity of professional talents, and uncertainty about the applicability of certain unique composite material cultural relics constitute a resource and technology gap faced by museums around the world. At the core level of interpretation and display, how to accurately translate culture, avoid simplification, misinterpretation, or touching on historical sensitive points, and balance academic, popular, and cultural sensitivity are eternal challenges for curators. The explosive development of digital technology, while opening up a vast world of virtual sharing, has also brought about a series of unprecedented problems, such as the ambiguity of intellectual property ownership, the risk of infringement of cultural sanctity, the aggravation of the digital divide, and ethical disputes caused by new business models such as NFT. In addition, sustainable funding and talent resources have always been the cornerstone of the steady development of the museum industry.

In the face of these intertwined challenges, the future development path of museums lies in embracing open collaboration and innovative integration. Actively building a multi-level and normalized cooperation network - deepening inter-museum exchanges and mutual learning, consolidating industry-university-research alliances with academic institutions, respecting and absorbing the participation of original cultural communities, and exploring cross-border collaboration with technology companies - is the key to gathering wisdom and resources. At the same time, it is necessary to vigorously explore diversified fundraising channels and enhance its own hematopoietic capacity through social sponsorship, cultural and creative development, and cultural service value-added. More fundamentally, museums need to stick to their public welfare and educational core, continue to improve the quality of public cultural services, focus on the audience, and use innovative means to enhance public participation and cultural heritage protection awareness. Only through continuous concept renewal, extensive collaborative practice, optimal integration of resources, and forward-looking thinking on science and technology ethics can museums effectively resolve the protection dilemma in Sino-Western cultural exchanges and truly become a solid fortress that connects history and the future, communicates the East and the West, protects the common cultural heritage of mankind, and promotes mutual learning and common prosperity among civilizations. This is not only about the survival of cultural heritage, but also about the possibility of building cultural symbiosis based on understanding and respect in an interdependent global society.

REFERENCES

- [1] KONG Tingting. Practice of Cultural Relics Digitization in County-Level Museums: A Case Study of the Digital Protection and Utilization Project of Tangyuan County Museum[J]. Cultural Relics Identification and Appreciation, 2025, (12): 102-105. DOI:10.20005/j.cnki.issn.1674-8697.2025.12.024.
- [2] FU Yulan. Research on the Operation Mode of Museum Clusters. 2010. Fudan University, PhD dissertation.
- [3] JIA Ziyi. Research on the Paths of Museum Cultural Relics Protection and Inheritance in the Digital Age[J]. China National Exhibition, 2025, (01): 256-258.
- [4] LIU Yuhui, YOU Zhiluo, HOU Yunpeng. Intangible Cultural Heritage Digitization and Public Participation in Small and Medium-Sized Museums from a Social Sustainability Perspective: A Case Study of the Museum of English Rural Life, UK[J]. Art and Design (Theory), 2024, 2(12): 97-99. DOI:10.16824/j.cnki.issn10082832.2024.12.020.
- [5] WANG Jie, QU Liang, CHEN Yang, et al. Practice, Significance and Prospects of International Standardization in Cultural Heritage Protection: A Case Study of the Palace Museum[J]. China Standardization, 2024, (22): 123-130.